

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/748,994	12/27/2000	Douglas B. Quine	F-240	6431
919 PITNEY BOW	7590 03/19/2007 ES INC.	EXAMINER		
35 WATERVII	EW DRIVE	GIBBS, HEATHER D		
P.O. BOX 3000 MSC 26-22	J	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SHELTON, CT	T 06484-8000	2625	•	
				
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/19/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/74	8,994	QUINE, DOUGLAS B.			
		Exam	iner	Art Unit			
		Heath	er D. Gibbs	2625			
	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears or	the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
Period fo	, ,						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M resions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In in nunication. atutory period will apply a will, by statute, cause th	THIS COMMUNICATION TO event, however, may a reply be tire and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) 🂢	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>28 February</u>	<u>2007</u> .	•			
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1,5,7,10-23 and 25</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
,	6) Claim(s) 1,5,7,10-23,25 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	•				
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
• •	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner					
,	•		or b) objected to by the	Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None·of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	ot(s)	•					
1) 🛛 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summan				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:							

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. The amendment filed on 02/12/2007 has been entered and made of record.
- 2 Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of claims 1,5,7,10-23,25 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Reifman et al (US 5,438,433). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1,5,7,10-23,25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Reifman et al (US 6,438,433).

For claim 1, Reifman teaches A method of authenticating information communicated between a first communication device and a second communication device via a communications network, comprising the steps of: a receiving input data and generating facsimile information in a first format by said first communication device

Art Unit: 2625

from said input data; b. processing said input data to compute an encrypted checksum; c. convolving said facsimile information with said encrypted checksum data to produce convolved data (Col 23 Line 68-Col 24 Line 16); d. decrypting, at said second communication device, said encrypted checksum; e. computing a checksum of said input data received at said second communications device; and f. alerting a recipient at said second communication device in the event of a mismatch between said checksum data computed in step (e) and said decrypted checksum data in step (d) by clearly marking the received input data indicating a tamper condition (Col 48 Lines 48-59).

Regarding claim 5, Reifman teaches the method of claim 4, wherein a database system is communicatively coupled to said second communication device (Col 51 Lines 3-6).

Considering claim 7, Reifman teaches The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of: configuring an e-mail system for receiving and displaying an alert message to said recipient along with said received input data (Col 61 Lines 7-10; EFAX).

For claim 10, Reifman discloses wherein the step of alerting the recipient at said second facsimile communication device in the event of a mismatch includes a printing a clear mark across a print out of the received input data indicating a tamper condition (Col 48 Lines 35-37,52-56 and Col 49 Lines 12-18; user has option to choose "print on the IFAX").

For claim 11, Reifman teaches wherein the step of alerting the recipient at said second facsimile communication device in the event of a mismatch includes displaying

Art Unit: 2625

a clear mark across a computer display of the received input data indicating a tamper condition (Col 47 Lines 64-65 and Col 48 Lines 52-56).

For claim 12, Reifman teaches wherein the convolved data is transmitted to the second facsimile communication devices as an e-mail attachment (Col 48 Lines 60-64).

For claim 13, Reifman discloses sending the convolved data to a third facsimile communication device (Col 1 Lines 44-54; Col 3 Lines 41-51).

Considering claim 14, Reifman discloses receiving a user name and password from a user with the second facsimile communication device (Col 10 Lines 33-39).

Considering claim 15, Reifman discloses a method of authenticating a facsimile document communicated between a first facsimile communication device and a second facsimile communication device via a communications network, comprising the steps of: receiving at the second facsimile communications device via a communication network, comprising the steps of: receiving at the second facsimile communications device transmitted data including a digital representation of the entire facsimile document and convolved encrypted authentication data associated with the digital representation of the entire facsimile document in a first format sent by said first communication device (Col 23 Line 68- Col 24 Line 16); processing said transmitted data at said second communication device, to extract a digital representation of the entire facsimile document and convolved encrypted authentication data; decrypting, at said second communication device, said encrypted authentication data; computing, at said second communication device, a comparison version of the authentication data using the digital representation of the entire facsimile document and convolved encrypted authentication

Art Unit: 2625

data; and alerting a recipient at said second communication device in the event of a mismatch between said authentication data and said comparison version of the authentication data by clearly marking the received input data indicating a tamper condition (Col 48 Lines 48-59).

For claim 16, Reifman teaches the method of claim 4, wherein a database system is communicatively coupled to said second communication device (Col 51 Lines 3-6).

Regarding claim 17, Reifman teaches further comprising the step of: configuring an e-mail system for receiving and displaying an alert message to said recipient along with said received input data (Col 61 Lines 7-10; EFAX).

Considering claim 18, Reifman discloses wherein the step of alerting the recipient at said second facsimile communication device in the event of a mismatch includes a printing a clear mark across a print out of the received input data indicating a tamper condition (Col 48 Lines 35-37,52-56 and Col 49 Lines 12-18; user has option to choose "print on the IFAX").

For claim 19, Reifman teaches wherein the step of alerting the recipient at said second facsimile communication device in the event of a mismatch includes displaying a clear mark across a computer display of the received input data indicating a tamper condition (Col 47 Lines 64-65 and Col 48 Lines 52-56).

Regarding claim 20, Reifman teaches wherein the convolved data is transmitted to the second facsimile communication devices as an e-mail attachment (Col 48 Lines 60-64).

Art Unit: 2625

Considering claim 21, Reifman discloses sending the convolved data to a third facsimile communication device (Col 1 Lines 44-54; Col 3 Lines 41-51).

For claim 22, Reifman discloses receiving a user name and password from a user with the second facsimile communication device (Col 10 Lines 33-39).

For claim 23, Reifman teaches a method of authenticating a facsimile document communicated between a first facsimile communication device and a second facsimile communication device via a communications network, comprising the steps of: receiving at the second facsimile communications device transmitted data including a digital representation of the entire facsimile document and convolved encrypted authentication data associated with the facsimile document and consisting of a single encrypted checksum of the entire facsimile document in a first format sent by said first communication device; processing said transmitted data, at said second communication device, to extract a digital representation of the entire facsimile document and convolved encrypted authentication data(Col 23 Line 68- Col 24 Line 16); decrypting, at said second communication device, said encrypted authentication data; computing, at said second communication device, a comparison version of the authentication data using the a digital representation of the entire facsimile document and convolved encrypted authentication data; and alerting a recipient at said second communication device in the event of a mismatch between said authentication data and said comparison version of the authentication data (Col 48 Lines 48-59), wherein the step of alerting the recipient at said second facsimile communication device in the event of a mismatch includes a clear mark across a print out of the received input data indication a

Art Unit: 2625

tamper condition(Col 48 Lines 35-37,52-56 and Col 49 Lines 12-18; user has option to choose "print on the IFAX").

Regarding claim 25, Reifman discloses the step of alerting the recipient at said second facsimile communication device in the event of a mismatch includes displaying a clear mark across a computer display of the received input data indicating a tamper condition (Col 47 Lines 64-65 and Col 48 Lines 52-56).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Heather D. Gibbs whose telephone number is 571-272-7404. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thu 8AM-7PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Aung S. Moe can be reached on 571-272-7314. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2625

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Heather D Gibbs

Examiner Art Unit 2625

hdg

AUNG S. MOE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

3/16/07